

OMB No. 2010-0032 Expiration Date: 06/30/03

Facility Name: U.S. Dept. of Energy & DynMcDermott Petroleum Operations Company

Performance Track ID #: A060017

Annual Performance Report #: 1

Reporting Year: 2001

**Due Date:** 07/01/2002

### Section A. **General Facility Information**

Please supply or revise information in A.1 – A.8 as is necessary to ensure that it is accurate, complete, and up-to-date. Items A.9 and A.10 cannot be pre-completed; please respond as directed in A.9 and A.10 below.

A.1 Name of your facility: U.S. Dept. of Energy & DynMcDermott Petroleum Operations Company

A.2 Name of your parent company: N/A

A.3 Facility contact person for the Performance Track program:

Name: Mr. Michael Huff Title: DM Env Mngt Sys Spec Phone: (504) 734-4816 Fax: (504) 818-5816

E-mail: michael.huff@spr.doe.gov

A.4 Facility's location

Street Address: 850 S Clearview Parkway

Address (cont.): City: New Orleans

State: LA

**Zip Code:** 70123

A.5 Facility's Website address (if any):

DOE website: www.spr.doe.gov

A.6 Number of employees (full-time equivalents) who currently work in the facility:

500-1000

A.7 Does your company meet the Small Business Administration definition of a small business for your sector?

No

A.8 North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) Code(s) that are used to classify business at the facility:

42271

A.9 In your application and, perhaps, in previous annual performance reports, you described what your facility does or makes. Have there been any (additional) changes to your facility's list of products and/or activities? If so, please list them here:

A.10 Please update the list of environmental requirements that apply to your facility by listing any changes that have taken place during this reporting period. If you have no changes to report, please enter "No changes".

A revised (for CY 2001) list of standards/requirements is attached. Additions and

deletions are bolded.

# Section B. Environmental Management System

- B.1 Environmental Management System Assessment. Please summarize EMS assessments conducted *during the year*.
- a. Was an EMS audit or other assessment done by an independent third party?
  Yes

If yes, please provide the type (e.g., ISO 14001 certification), the scope, and the dates (mo/yr) of each assessment.

Туре	Scope	Dates (mo/yr)
ISO 14001 Surveillance	Legal&Other Requirements Objectives & Targets Monitoring & Measurement Communication Document Control Corr. & Prev. Actions EMS Audits (internal) Mgmt. Review	04/01
ISO 14001 Surveillance	Env. Mgmt. Programs Struct. & Responsibility Objectives & Targets Communication Document Control Corr. & Prev. Actions EMS Audits (internal) Mgmt. Review	11/01

b. Was an internal or corporate EMS audit conducted? Yes If yes, please provide the scope and dates (mo/yr) of each audit.

Scope	Date (mo/yr)
Big Hill Site Environmental Policy Environmental Aspects Legal and Other Requirements Objectives and Targets Environmental Management Programs Structure and Responsibility Training, Awareness, and Competence Communication Document Control Operational Control Emergency Preparedness and Response Monitoring and Measurement	02/01

Non-Conformance and Correct./Prev. Action Records Environmental Management System Audit Management Review	
Bayou Choctaw Site Same elements as Big Hill site above	02/01
New Orleans Site Same elements as Big Hill site above plus: EMS Documentation	03/01
West Hackberry Site Same elements as Big Hill site above SEE ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AFTER SECTION E ON BRYAN MOUND SITE AUDIT	07/01

#### c. Was a compliance audit conducted?

Yes

If yes, please provide the scope and the dates (mo/yr) of each audit, and indicate who conducted the audit(s) (e.g.,

facility staff, corporate groups, third party).

Scope	Dates	Who conducted the audit
Organizational Assessment,Bryan Mound Site Protection-Air Qual. Protection-Water Qual. Solid & Haz.Waste Generation & Control	12/01	Facility Staff
Self-Assessment, New Orleans Site Protection-Air Qual. Protection-Water Qual. Solid & Haz. Waste Generation & Control Control of Toxic Substances P2/Waste Minimization Mgmt.Oversight and Reporting	12/01	Facility Staff (Env. Dept.)

## d. (Optional) If you would like to describe any other audits or inspections that were conducted at your facility, please do

1/01-Air Quality at West Hackberry by LA Dept. of

Env. Quality

2/01-Potable Water at Bayou Choctaw by LA Dept. of

Health and Hospitals

2/01-Oil Spill Prevention and Recovery Act

(OSPRA)Compliance at Big Hill by TX General

Land Office

3/01-Waste, Beneficial Use at Big Hill by TX

Railroad Commission

4/01-Site Mgmt.Appraisal at West Hackberry by DOE

6/01-Site Mgmt.Appraisal at Bryan Mound by DOE

7/01-Waste Reduction/Recycling Assessment at all

sites by Jacobs Engineering

8/01-OSPRA Compliance at Bryan Mound by TX General

Land Office

8/01-Site Mgmt. Appraisal at Big Hill by DOE 9/01-Site Mgmt. Appraisal at Bayou Choctaw by DOE 11/01-Wetland Determinations at West Hackberry and Bayou Choctaw by U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 11/01-Site Mgmt. Appraisal at New Orleans by DOE

## e. Briefly summarize corrective actions taken and other improvements made as a result of your EMS assessments and compliance audit

- 1. Planning and Implementation Operation: Clarified verbiage on aspects/impacts and related objectives and targets in our EMS manual
- 2. Document Control: Specified document review periodicity through our new Document Control and Management Program manual.
- 3. Document Control: Incorporated a calibration aid table into a new work instruction.
- 4. Document Control: Updated a list of heavy equipment stored under cover as part of a site's storm water pollution prevention plan.
- 5. Monitoring and Measurement: Equipment to measure depth to water in wells was added to the calibration program.
- 6. Document Control: Providing tighter hard copy document control through continued (via audits) surveillance for uncontrolled hard copy documents
- 7. Nonconformance and Corrective and Preventive Action: Greater sensitivity to overseeing corrective action progress to findings
- 8. Records: Up-graded environmental records inventory disposition schedule (RIDS) for the field sites to include lab calibration records for water testing
- 9. Document Control: Assured that herbicide applicators at the Big Hill site have the most current operating instruction for herbicide application by adding their immediate supervisor to the distribution list for this operating instruction.
- 10. Waste Management: Addressed waste container identification and housekeeping issues
- 11. Air Emissions: Improved housekeeping practices to minimize fugitive emissions associated with oil sampling and testing activities.
- 12. Water Protection: Identified and replaced identification tags for three stormwater discharge outfalls (out of 24) at a site.
- 13. Training, Awareness, and Competence: Developed a more in-depth computer-based annual EMS general course that replaced a more basic computer-based awareness course and an intermediate course that had to be taught by an instructor. The new course includes the more important points of the intermediate course and electronically tracks students and their scores.
- f. Has your facility corrected all instances of potential non-compliance and EMS non-conformance identified during your audits and other assessments?

Yes

If no, please explain your plans to correct these instances.

g. When was the last Senior Management review of your EMS completed? 11/01

Who headed the review? Name: Mr. R. McGough SEE COMMENT AFTER SECTION E Title: Proj. Mgr., DynMcDermott Petroleum Operations Co.

B.2 ISO 14001 Certification. Is your facility currently certified to ISO 14001?

Yes

B.3 Environmental Aspects Identification. When did your facility last conduct a systematic identification and/or review of your environmental aspects?

11/01

B.4 Progress Toward Achieving Objectives and Targets. In the table below, please provide a narrative summary of progress made toward EMS objectives and targets. You may limit the summary to environmental aspects that are *significant* and towards which progress has been made during the *reporting year*. In cases where *progress* relates specifically to a Performance Track performance commitment, complete the Environmental Aspect column, but in the *Progress* column simply refer to the performance commitment tables in Section C, i.e. "See Section C."

Environmental Aspect	Progress Made This Year (e.g., quantitative or qualitative improvements, activities conducted)
Hazardous Solid Waste	See Section C.
Total Solid Waste (Waste Diversion for Recycling)	See Section C.
Emissions of Greenhouse Gasses, VOC's NOX, SOX, PM, and CO	See Section C.
Total Solid Waste	Accomplishment - Conducted an SPR-wide pollution prevention opportunity assessment looking for areas for waste reduction and recycling. Assessment outcome provided proposals for P2 projects to be conducted in FY 2002. Projects will address Secretary of Energy's Pollution Prevention/Energy Efficiency goals for reducing hazardous waste, reducing sanitary waste from routine operations, and reducing sanitary waste through recycling.
Recycled/Reused Materials Use	Accomplishments -  SPR Headquarters participated in a cooperative effort spring telephone book recycling campaign sponsored by Bell South. The SPR collected 980 lbs of telephione books. A local animal shelter received a cash donation on behalf of the SPR's efforts.  A somewhat unique but recyclable item, Mardi Gras beads are collected annually from DM and DOE employees provided to charitable organizations for resale. In 2001, 94 pounds were collected.  Materials diverted from the dumpster to the recycle bin included the "expected" such as paper, cardboard, and scrap metal, but also included the more unusual such as fluorescent bulbs, toner cartridges, ring binders, plastic spiral combs that bind documents, and crude oil residues.  The Bryan Mound site donated 3,208 lb of excess paint to the nearby city of Freeport. An additional 402 lb of excess paint was evaluated and determined suitable for use on site in applications where no paint specification was necessary.  At the Big Hill site, painting contractors submitted to DM 80 lbs of excess mixed paint as hazardous waste. The paint, however, could be used in applications where there were no prescribed performance specifications. A curing agent was procurred and the paint was successfully used to paint the helicopter pad.  Due to a periodic increase in crude oil testing, the lab at the Big Hill site generated a larger than usual amount of solvent lab waste. Eighty lbs of solvent was distilled and re-used in cleaning applications on site.  A total of 356 lbs of spent wireline grease generated from cavern work-over activity at the West Hackberry and Bayou Choctaw sites was reused under the used oil burned for energy recovery guidelines.  Ninety-four useable specific gravity and API gravity hydrometers no longer needed at the West Hackberry site laboratory were donated to a local university where they will continue to be used. Due to their mercury

content, they would have been classified as hazardous waste.

Used diesel fuel filters were accumulated and sent off site for recycling in much the same manner as used oil filters. Diesel pressed from the filters is used as boiler or motor fuel and the filter is recycled as scrap metal.

The Bayou Choctaw site diverted over 1,030,000 lbs of used asphalt and 270,000 lbs of dirt from disposal in a landfill. The local parish landfill used the materials as part of their facility management operations.

The success in purchasing products designated by EPA as affirmative procurement improved to 95% in FY 2001. During the last three months of CY 2001 the SPR achieved a success rate of 100%.

The New Orleans (headquarters) site expanded its recycling program beyond paper and mixed paper products to include plastic, aluminum, and cardboard recycling.

In New Orleans property transferrals were also completed for excess furniture, obsolete computers, and other items that have continued use.

### Other - Employee Awareness Recognition

DM distributed 74 environmental/pollution prevention awards through the Pollution Prevention Awareness Program. This program recognizes contractor employees who have demonstrated success in activities that reduced pollution on the SPR, including the following: recycling/reuse, participating in outreach activities, purchasing affirmative procurement products, reduction in toxic materials, minimization of hazardous materials, reduction of sanitary waste, and improvement to processes or systems that help reduce pollution.

## Section C. Environmental Performance Commitments

Please use the tables below to summarize your facility's environmental performance against your Performance Track performance commitments. Complete only those boxes related to the baseline, current year, and performance commitment.

#### C.1 Performance Commitment 1

a. Use this table to report data related to your first performance commitment.

Category:	Waste					
Aspect :	Hazardous Solid Waste					
	Aspect Specifics (Op	tional):				
	Baseline (as stated in your application)	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Performance Commitment (the goal stated in your application)	
Calendar Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2003	
Actual Quantity (per year)	3960	1364			Optional:	
Measurement Units	lbs					
Normalizing Factor	1.0	0.997			Optional:	
Basis for your Normalizing Factor	Changes in paintable	surface area, cru	ude oil moved, an	d building surfa	ce area.	
Normalized Quantity* (per year)	3960	1368			3000	
*Calculated for you						

## b. Briefly describe how you achieved improvements for this aspect or, if relevant, any circumstances that delayed progress.

The three greatest hazardous waste streams are 1) paint related waste, 2) lab waste, and 3) fluorescent lamps. The normalizing factor is based on the contribution of these three waste streams.

Paint related waste was reduced through evaluating painting behavior and monitoring and controlling internal and contracted painting activities. Painting activities were modified to minimize paint waste. A different paint system that generates less waste was also tested and implemented. Lab waste generation is being evaluated to find ways to decrease waste through testing methodology and source reduction. Generation of hazardous fluorescent lamps (old style lamps are classified as hazardous in Texas) is being reduced through replacement with non-hazardous (lower mercury) equivalents.

The performance commitment of a 960 lb reduction (refer to the Performance Track application) was met and surpassed in actual and normalized quantity (2596 lb and 2592 lb reduction, respectively) in CY 01, two years ahead of the commitment date. The performance commitment stated in this report differs from that provided in the application; however, the commitment in the application was originally based on a 3000 lb limit on hazardous waste generation in CY 03.

#### C.2 Performance Commitment 2

a. Use this table to report data related to your second performance commitment.

Category :	Accidental Releases				
Aspect :	Vulnerability and Potential for Releases				
	Aspect Specifics (Op	otional):			
	Baseline (as stated in your application)	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Performance Commitment (the goal stated in your application)
Calendar Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2003
Actual Quantity (per year)	13557	13557			Optional:
Measurement Units	lbs			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Normalizing Factor	1.0	1			Optional:
Basis for your Normalizing Factor	Change in the number of fire suppression systems				
Normalized Quantity* (per year)	13557	13557			12201
*Calculated for you					

b. Briefly describe how you achieved improvements for this aspect or, if relevant, any circumstances that delayed progress.

No progress in CY 2001. Removal of 1356 lbs of Halon 1301 was delayed in CY 2001 due to budget constraints. The process to remove this amount and all other unnecessary stores of Halon 1301 on the SPR will commence in CY 2002. There will be no new Halon 1301 fire suppression systems constructed or existing systems re-filled through 2003.

#### Attach:

Any attachments will be located at the end of the report.

#### C.3 Performance Commitment 3

a. Use this table to report data related to your third performance commitment.

Category :	Waste				
Aspect :	Total Solid Waste				
,	Aspect Specifics (Op	otional):			
	Baseline (as stated in your application)	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Performance Commitment (the goal stated in your application)
Calendar Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2003
Actual Quantity (per year)	412.8	362.1			Optional:
Measurement Units	tons		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Normalizing Factor	1.0	1.009			Optional:
Basis for your Normalizing Factor	Change in man-hours	worked on the	SPR		
Normalized Quantity* (per year)	412.8	358.9			350.9
*Calculated for you					

b. Briefly describe how you achieved improvements for this aspect or, if relevant, any circumstances that delayed progress.

Bulk sanitary waste streams found in dumpsters were examined to identify those that could be recycled. When they occurred, non-routine wastes such as demolition materials were also recycled. The baseline provided above has been changed, based on additional information available for CY 2000 since the application was submitted. The original Quantity (per year) and Measurement Unit were percentages of waste diverted to recycling. This metric was chosen because waste generated varies from year to year. Emphasis was placed on increasing the recycling rate, which will reduce the amount of waste sent to the landfill. The performance commitment by 2003 is a 15% recycling rate. This equates to a reduction of 61.9 tons based on waste generated in CY 2000, or a do-not-exceed 350.9 ton goal for CY 2003. This goal is being approached.

#### Attach:

Any attachments will be located at the end of the report.

#### C.4 Performance Commitment 4

a. Use this table to report data related to your fourth performance commitment.

Category :	Air Emissions				
Aspect :	Emissions of Greenhouse Gases				
	Aspect Specifics (Op	otional):			
	Baseline (as stated in your application)	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Performance Commitment (the goal stated in your application)
Calendar Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2003
Actual Quantity (per year)	10.124	0.132			Optional:
Measurement Units	tons				
Normalizing Factor	1.0	1.09			Optional:
Basis for your Normalizing Factor	Change in miles driven by DOE and contractors				
Normalized Quantity* (per year)	10.1	0.12			0.13
*Calculated for you					

### b. Briefly describe how you achieved improvements for this aspect or,

#### if relevant, any circumstances that delayed progress.

Fleet vehicle needs were evaluated. Gasoline-powered vehicles are being eliminated where not needed. In CY 2001, nine gasoline powered scooters were replaced with nine electric scooters and eight gasoline trucks were replaced with dual fuel (propane and gasoline) trucks.

Baseline emissions calculated for gasoline powered scooters included VOC, CO, SO2, NOX, and PM that would have been emitted if the scooters had not replaced with electric equivalents. These calculations are based on EPA AP-42 Emissions Factors of Gasoline Industrial Engines (10/96). Baseline emissions calculated for conventional pick-up trucks included CO and NOx that would have been emitted if the trucks had not been replaced by dual-fuel equivalents. These calculations are based on Federal Certification Exhaust Emission Standards (EPA420-B-00-001).

Baseline and performance commitment data differs from that of the application. The original commitment in the application was based on a reduction in the number of vehicles, not emissions. This was converted to an emissions reduction.

Performance commitment was met and surpassed in CY 01, two years ahead of the commitment date, due to the replacement of an additional gasoline powered truck with a dual fuel truck (17 vehicles replaced instead of 16 committed). This resulted in a further 0.001 ton reduction of emissions over a conventional truck.

#### Attach:

Any attachments will be located at the end of the report.

# Section D. Public Outreach and Performance Reporting

D.1 Please briefly summarize the public outreach and reporting activities that your facility has conducted during the year. Feel free, but not obligated, to attach supporting materials (e.g., meeting agendas, public announcements).

Environmental Advisory Committee (EAC) meetings (2 days each) were conducted quarterly with nine community advisors. The committee consists of a credible group of scientific/technical specialists who are chartered to review processes and activities and have been granted the authority to act independently in their dissemination of information. The EAC's purpose is to supplement existing environmental and emergency management efforts of the SPR by providing independent assessments, evaluations, advice, and impartial information internally to the operating management, and externally to the public and media relative to the environment, safety, public perception, programs, and policies of the SPR. Excerpts from the meeting held in October 2001 are attached.

Annually DOE and DM prepare a comprehensive Site Environmental Report describing the environmental activities and impacts of the five SPR sites. The Site Environmental Report for CY 2000 was published on 10/1/01. Report topics include general descriptions of the affected environs; a compliance summary; program information such as permits, pollution prevention efforts, and environmental management system aspects and impacts; air and water quality monitoring, surveillance efforts; environmental occurrences; ground water monitoring and protection activities; and laboratory and quality assurance activities. The report is distributed to the Department of Energy (all levels), affected elected officials (local, state, and federal), regulatory agencies, libraries, media, and interested parties. While past distribution of this 200-page report has been via mail to some 200 recipients, the 2001 and future issues will be made even more broadly available via WEB publication.

DynMcDermott works with a local non-government organization, the Lake Pontchartrain Basin Foundation (www.saveourlake.org), to assist in an annual local shoreline cleanup campaign. DynMcDermott organizes company volunteers to cleanup a designated portion of shoreline during the annual International Beach Sweep event. On September 15, 2001, employees combed a quarter mile stretch of the south shore of Lake Pontchartrain where they collected 16 large (39 gallon) bags of trash, 7 bags of recyclables (aluminum, plastic, and glass), 1-2 cu yd of construction debris, and a quart jar of medical waste. The foundation organizes various waste management companies to properly handle these materials. In addition, DynMcDermott catalogues all of the material it collects by type and amount for inclusion in the Beach Sweep database. At the conclusion of the cleanup activity DynMcDermott employees participate in a picnic provided by the foundation for all volunteers, providing an opportunity for volunteers from a variety of participating corporate and community organizations to intermingle and share ideas and concerns in a casual setting. An article from the DM newsletter "Esprit" is attached.

DynMcDermott is the major corporate sponsor for the Department of Energy Louisiana Regional Science Bowl. Held annually (on February 3rd in 2001) at the University of New Orleans, this quiz bowl is a national competition designed to recognize the accomplishments of students who excel in science and math. Scientists employed by DOE National Laboratories such as, Lawrence Livermore, Los Alamos and Sandia develop the questions asked during the quiz bowl. DOE and DM employees volunteer to run the games during the all-day event. Approximately 80 volunteers are needed. In addition to the volunteer effort, DOE and DM provide awards, commemorative t-shirts and lunch. The winner of the Louisiana Science Bowl represents the state at the national competition held in Washington, D.C.

DOE and DM maintain a business/education partnership with an "at risk" junior high school in Orleans Parish, Louisiana: Capdau Junior High. This relationship has provided a computer lab for the school, maintenance contracts for the computers, and before and after school tutoring for the students. Each year four employees volunteer for the before and after school tutoring program called the "Homework Assistance Program". Students involved in the tutoring sessions have been able to improve their academic performance by at least one letter grade. DOE and DM also provide the school with materials for end of the school year activities including trophies for the student's Award Day ceremony and funding for the annual Teacher's Appreciation Luncheon.

During the Christmas season, each SPR site in Louisiana and Texas adopts a non-profit organization in the community through which employees provide gifts of clothing, toys, and food for needy children or families. This is a large volunteer effort with five to fifteen employees coordinating the project at each location. Several locations decorate Christmas trees with ornaments bearing the name of a child in need.

Employees choose an ornament and then purchase gifts for the child. Each year about 250 employees volunteer to provide the gifts. For example, at the New Orleans location, a local Head Start pre-school is adopted and each child enrolled in the program receives a gift from the employee and one from the company. About 150 children receive assistance from this location alone. At some other locations, employees also volunteer to locate families in their local communities who are in need of assistance and provide them with food baskets, clothing, and gifts for the children. Often employees work with local churches to locate those people who are truly in need of help.

Six children and/or grandchildren of DOE employees participated in the 2001 Annual Recycles Day Poster Contest. The participants received an environmental awareness award through the DM Public Affairs Office. Each employee also received a pollution prevention award for sponsoring their children and promoting recycling awareness.

Other organizations through which the SPR shows its commitment to public outreach include: Louisiana Emergency Preparedness Association Board of Directors
Local Emergency Planning Committees (Bayou Choctaw and New Orleans)
Baton Rouge Mutual Aid Association (Bayou Choctaw)
Southwest Mutual Aid Association (West Hackberry)
Local fire departments (Bayou Choctaw and West Hackberry)

#### Attachment:

Any attachments will be located at the end of the report.

D.2 Please indicate which of the following methods your facility plans to use to make its Performance Track Annual Report available to the public. Please check as many as appropriate.

Web Site Community Advisory Panel Other

**URL**: www.epa.gov/performancetrack

Other: The website to this report will be referenced in the CY01 Site Environmental Report.

# Section E. Self-Certification of Continued Program Participation

On behalf of

U.S. Dept. of Energy & DynMcDermott Petroleum Operations Company,

(name of my facility)

#### I certify that

- I have read and agree to the terms and conditions as specified in the *National Environmental Performance Track Program Guide*. This facility, to the best of my knowledge, continues to meet all program criteria;
- -- I have personally examined and am familiar with the information contained in this Annual Performance Report. The information contained in this report is, to the best of my knowledge and based on reasonable inquiry, true, accurate, and complete;
- -- My facility has an environmental management system (EMS), as defined in the Performance Track EMS criteria, including systems to maintain compliance with all applicable federal, state, tribal, and local environmental requirements, in place at the facility, and the EMS will be maintained for the duration of the facility's participation in the program;
- -- My facility has conducted an objective assessment of its compliance with all applicable federal, state, tribal, and local environmental requirements; and the facility has corrected all identified instances of potential or actual noncompliance;
- -- Based on the foregoing compliance assessments and subsequent corrective actions (if any were necessary), my facility is, to the best of my knowledge and based on reasonable inquiry, currently in compliance with applicable federal, state, tribal, and local environmental requirements.

I agree that EPA's decision whether to accept participants into or remove them from the National Environment Performance Track is wholly discretionary, and I waive any right that may exist under any law to challenge EPA's acceptance or removal decision.

I am the senior manager with responsibility for the facility and am fully authorized to execute this statement on behalf of the corporation or other legal entity whose facility is part of the National Environmental Performance Track program.

Signature/Date	·
Printed Name	Mr. W. C. Gibson/Robert McGough
Title	DOE Proj. Mgr./DM Proj. Mgr.
Phone Number	(504) 734-4201/(504) 734-4425
E-mail Address	see comments
Facility Name	U.S. Dept. of Energy & DynMcDermott Petroleum Operations Company
Facility Street Address	850 S Clearview Parkway
	New Orleans, LA 70123

D	rfo	rma	nce	Tra	ck	וח#
re	31 I O	rma	nce	та	CK	IU#

A060017

#### Appendix:

Do you want to add Appendix? Yes

When adding additional comments, please refer to question number.

Comments:

Section B.1.b (Internal EMS Audits)

Bryan Mound Site, 12/01

Communication
Document Control
Operational Control

Monitoring and Measurement

Records

Section B.1.g (Management Review)

R. McGough heads the review, but the reviews are prepared and facilitated by Mr. Michael E. Huff, EMS Specialist (designated EMS Rep.) for

DynMcDermott Petroleum Operations Co. Section B.3 (Env. Aspects Identification) Activities (new projects) are also examined routinely for new aspects and impacts as part

of the NEPA review process.

Section E Signature page, e-mail addresses:

Hoot.Gibson@SPR.DOE.GOV; Robert.McGough@SPR.DOE.GOV

#### Attachment:

View attachments here:

P-Track Annual Performance Report (CY 01) Attachment on Beach Sweep.doc

P-Track Annual Performance Report (CY 01) ES&H STANDARDS Update Attachment, 1-31-02.doc

P-Track Annual Performance Report (CY 2001) Attachment on EAC.doc

Paperwork Reduction Act Notice

The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection information is estimated to average 188 hours per respondent annually. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the Director, Collection Strategies Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2822), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Include the OMB control